Lecture 32: Concurrency with processes

David Hovemeyer

November 22, 2024

601.229 Computer Systems Fundamentals



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Main web server loop:

```
while (1) {
    int clientfd = Accept(serverfd, NULL, NULL);
    if (clientfd < 0) { fatal("Error accepting client connection"); }
    server_chat_with_client(clientfd, webroot);
    close(clientfd);
}</pre>
```

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Do you see any limitations of this design?

```
Main web server loop:
```

```
while (1) {
    int clientfd = Accept(serverfd, NULL, NULL);
    if (clientfd < 0) { fatal("Error accepting client connection"); }
    server_chat_with_client(clientfd, webroot);
    close(clientfd);
}</pre>
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○ ◆○◆

Do you see any limitations of this design?

The server can only communicate with one client at a time

In general, servers (including web servers) can receive requests from many clients, *simultaneously*

Concurrency: Processing involving multiple tasks that can execute *asynchronously* with respect to each other

 E.g., multiple server/client conversations could be ongoing at the same time

It would be good if our web server could serve multiple clients concurrently

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Consider two tasks, A and B, consisting of a sequence of instructions

Consider two tasks, A and B, consisting of a sequence of instructions

A and B execute *concurrently* if relative ordering of instructions in A and B is not guaranteed

 I.e., an instruction in A could happen either "before" or "after" an instruction in B

Consider two tasks, A and B, consisting of a sequence of instructions

A and B execute *concurrently* if relative ordering of instructions in A and B is not guaranteed

 I.e., an instruction in A could happen either "before" or "after" an instruction in B

A and B execute in *parallel* if instructions in A and B can execute *at the same time*

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Parallel execution requires multiple processors or cores

Consider two tasks, A and B, consisting of a sequence of instructions

A and B execute *concurrently* if relative ordering of instructions in A and B is not guaranteed

 I.e., an instruction in A could happen either "before" or "after" an instruction in B

A and B execute in *parallel* if instructions in A and B can execute *at the same time*

Parallel execution requires multiple processors or cores

Parallelism implies concurrency, but concurrency does not imply parallelism

Concurrency with processes

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Code on web page: mp_webserver.zip

Only the main function is different than original webserver.zip

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

We'll discuss some of the interesting implementation issues

We've seen that the fork system call makes a new child process that is a duplicate of the parent process

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Including inheriting open files

We've seen that the fork system call makes a new child process that is a duplicate of the parent process

Including inheriting open files

Idea: each time the server accepts a connection, fork a child process to handle communication with that client

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Multiple child processes can be executing concurrently

▶ OS kernel is responsible for allocating CPU time and handling I/O

Clicker quiz omitted from public slides

Issue: we may want to limit the number of simultaneous child processes
Processes are somewhat heavyweight in terms of system resources

Before starting a child process, the server loop will wait to make sure fewer than the maximum number of child processes are running Several system calls exist to allow a parent process to receive a child process's exit status (wait, waitpid)

If a child terminates but the parent doesn't wait for it, it can become a zombie

A parent process can handle the SIGCHLD signal in order to be notified when a child process exits

Several system calls exist to allow a parent process to receive a child process's exit status (wait, waitpid)

If a child terminates but the parent doesn't wait for it, it can become a zombie

A parent process can handle the SIGCHLD signal in order to be notified when a child process exits

Idea: parent will keep a count of how many child processes are running: use wait system call and SIGCHLD signal handler to detect when child processes complete

The signal and sigaction system calls can be used to register a *signal handler* function for a particular signal

Signal handler for the SIGCHLD signal, so server is notified when a child process terminates:

```
/* current number of child processes running */
int g_num_procs;
void sigchld_handler(int signo) {
    int wstatus;
    wait(&wstatus);
    if (WIFEXITED(wstatus) || WIFSIGNALED(wstatus)) {
      g_num_procs--;
    }
}
```

Register the sigchld_handler function as a handler for the SIGCHLD signal:

```
struct sigaction sa;
sigemptyset(&sa.sa_mask);
sa.sa_flags = 0;
sa.sa_handler = sigchld_handler;
sigaction(SIGCHLD, &sa, NULL);
```

When a child process terminates, the OS kernel will deliver a SIGCHLD signal, and the sigchld_handler function will be called

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Before forking a child process, the server will wait until the number of processes is at least one less than the maximum:

```
while (g_num_procs >= MAX_PROCESSES) {
    int wstatus;
    wait(&wstatus);
    if (WIFEXITED(wstatus) || WIFSIGNALED(wstatus))
        g_num_procs--;
}
int clientfd = Accept(serverfd, NULL, NULL);
g_num_procs++;
pid_t pid = fork();
```

(Does this work?)

Consider the loop to wait until g_num_procs is less than the maximum: while (g_num_procs >= MAX_PROCESSES) { int wstatus; wait(&wstatus);

The thing to understand about signals is that, in general, they can be delivered at *any* time

<code>Imagine that SIGCHLD</code> is received after checking <code>g_num_procs</code> but before calling wait

Consider the loop to wait until g_num_procs is less than the maximum: while (g_num_procs >= MAX_PROCESSES) { int wstatus; wait(&wstatus);

The thing to understand about signals is that, in general, they can be delivered at *any* time

<code>Imagine that SIGCHLD</code> is received after checking <code>g_num_procs</code> but before calling wait

Assuming that sigchld_handler detects that a child process has exited, the call to wait is unnecessary

Consider the loop to wait until g_num_procs is less than the maximum: while (g_num_procs >= MAX_PROCESSES) { int wstatus; wait(&wstatus);

The thing to understand about signals is that, in general, they can be delivered at *any* time

<code>Imagine that SIGCHLD</code> is received after checking <code>g_num_procs</code> but before calling wait

Assuming that sigchld_handler detects that a child process has exited, the call to wait is unnecessary

▶ If MAX_PROCESSES is 1, server is deadlocked!

Consider the following seemingly innocuous statement: g_num_procs--;

The code generated by the compiler is likely to be something similar to:

```
int tmp = g_num_procs;
tmp = tmp - 1;
g_num_procs = tmp;
Note that tmp would really be a register
```

Consider the following seemingly innocuous statement: g_num_procs--;

The code generated by the compiler is likely to be something similar to:

```
int tmp = g_num_procs;
tmp = tmp - 1;
g_num_procs = tmp;
```

Note that tmp would really be a register

Consider what happens if a SIGCHLD signal is received *after* the initial value of g_num_procs is read, but *before* the updated value of tmp is stored back to g_num_procs

Consider the following seemingly innocuous statement: g_num_procs--;

The code generated by the compiler is likely to be something similar to:

```
int tmp = g_num_procs;
tmp = tmp - 1;
g_num_procs = tmp;
```

Note that tmp would really be a register

Consider what happens if a SIGCHLD signal is received *after* the initial value of g_num_procs is read, but *before* the updated value of tmp is stored back to g_num_procs

A decrement of g_num_procs (in sigchld_handler) is lost, and the server no longer knows how many child processes are running!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 匡 … のへで

// Assume tmp is a register
int tmp = g_num_procs;

tmp = tmp - 1; g_num_procs = tmp;

// Assume tmp is a register
int tmp = g_num_procs; value of g_num_procs loaded to tmp

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

tmp = tmp - 1; g_num_procs = tmp;

```
// Assume tmp is a register
int tmp = g_num_procs;
```

SIGCHLD handled, g_num_procs decremented

```
tmp = tmp - 1;
g_num_procs = tmp;
```

// Assume tmp is a register
int tmp = g_num_procs;

tmp = tmp - 1; g_num_procs = tmp; tmp (old value of g_num_procs) decremented

// Assume tmp is a register
int tmp = g_num_procs;

tmp = tmp - 1;g_num_procs = tmp;

invalid count stored in g_num_procs

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 匡 … のへで

// Assume tmp is a register
int tmp = g_num_procs;

tmp = tmp - 1; g_num_procs = tmp;

Oops!

A *data race* is a (potential) bug where two concurrently-executing paths access a shared variable, and at least one path writes to the variable

Paths "race" to access shared data, outcome depends on which one "wins" A *data race* is a (potential) bug where two concurrently-executing paths access a shared variable, and at least one path writes to the variable

Paths "race" to access shared data, outcome depends on which one "wins"

Data race is a special case of a *race condition*, a situation where an execution outcome depends on unpredictable event sequencing

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

A data race can cause data invariants to be violated (e.g., "g_num_procs accurately reflects the number of processes running")

A *data race* is a (potential) bug where two concurrently-executing paths access a shared variable, and at least one path writes to the variable

Paths "race" to access shared data, outcome depends on which one "wins"

Data race is a special case of a *race condition*, a situation where an execution outcome depends on unpredictable event sequencing

A data race can cause data invariants to be violated (e.g., "g_num_procs accurately reflects the number of processes running")

Solution: *synchronization*

Implement a protocol to avoid uncontrolled access to shared data

Signal handler functions are a potential cause of data races because they execute asynchronously with respect to normal program execution

OS kernel could deliver a signal at any time

Signal handler functions are a potential cause of data races because they execute asynchronously with respect to normal program execution

OS kernel could deliver a signal at any time

sigprocmask: allows program to block and unblock a specific signal or signals

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Signal handler functions are a potential cause of data races because they execute asynchronously with respect to normal program execution

OS kernel could deliver a signal at any time

sigprocmask: allows program to block and unblock a specific signal or signals

ldea: block SIGCHLD whenever g_num_procs is being accessed by program
code

Prevent sigchld_handler from unexpectedly modifying g_num_procs

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

```
toggle_sigchld function:
    void toggle_sigchld(int how) {
        sigset_t sigs;
        sigemptyset(&sigs);
        sigaddset(&sigs, SIGCHLD);
        sigprocmask(how, &sigs, NULL);
    }
```

Use to protect accesses to g_num_procs:

```
toggle_sigchld(SIG_BLOCK);
g_num_procs++;
toggle_sigchld(SIG_UNBLOCK);
```

```
Web server main loop:
    while (1) {
      wait_for_avail_proc();
      int clientfd = accept connection from client
      toggle_sigchld(SIG_BLOCK);
      g num procs++;
      toggle sigchld(SIG UNBLOCK);
      pid_t pid = fork();
      if (pid < 0) {
        fatal("fork failed");
      } else if (pid == 0) { /* in child */
        server chat with client(clientfd, webroot);
        close(clientfd);
        exit(0):
      }
      close(clientfd);
    }
```

When a subprocess is forked, the child process inherits the parent process's file descriptors

In the web server, the forked child process inherits clientfd, the socket connected to the client

Convenient, since we want the child process to handle the client's request

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

When a subprocess is forked, the child process inherits the parent process's file descriptors

In the web server, the forked child process inherits clientfd, the socket connected to the client

Convenient, since we want the child process to handle the client's request

Important: the *parent* process must close clientfd, otherwise the web server will have a file descriptor leak

- OS kernel imposes limit on number of open files per process
- \blacktriangleright Too many file descriptors open \rightarrow can't open any more files or sockets

```
Before calling fork, web server calls wait for avail proc:
    void wait_for_avail_proc(void) {
      toggle sigchld(SIG BLOCK);
      while (g_num_procs >= MAX_PROCESSES) {
        int wstatus;
        wait(&wstatus);
        if (WIFEXITED(wstatus) || WIFSIGNALED(wstatus)) {
          g_num_procs--;
      }
      toggle sigchld(SIG UNBLOCK);
    }
```

Calls wait if too many processes are currently running

When a program receives a signal, it can interrupt the currently-executing system call

Special handling is required for accept system call to wait for connection from client:

```
int clientfd;
do {
    clientfd = accept(serverfd, NULL, NULL);
} while (clientfd < 0 && errno == EINTR);
if (clientfd < 0) {
    fatal("Error accepting client connection");
}
```

When errno is EINTR, it indicates that the system call was interrupted

While we're talking about signals...

Because of the potential of signal handlers to introduce data races into the program, some library functions aren't safe to call from a signal handler

Good idea to know these: man signal-safety on Linux

Standard I/O routines (printf, scanf, etc.) are not async-signal safe

In the mp_webserver directory:

\$ gcc -o mp_webserver main.c webserver.c csapp.c -lpthread

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

\$./mp_webserver 30000 ./site

Result

Visiting URL http://localhost:30000/index.html:

